

**Sub:- Report on the Review of Final Result
vide NIT no.WBFBCP/NIT-12(e)/2015-16**

FOR APPOINTMENT OF INTERNAL AUDITOR FOR THE FINANCIAL YEARS 2015-16 & 2016-17.

The final result of evaluation was submitted by the committee on 15.02.2016. However, on a query raised by the PD/ M&E with regard to typographical error in matters, the CPD directed to review the results. The final result after review is presented here.

Corrected Final Result

Total Score Secured = 80% of Technical score + 20% of Financial score

The bidder securing highest total score has been ranked 1st and selected for the assignment.

Technical Result Sheet

- | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------|
| 1. | M/S S.K.Agrawal & Co.- | Marks obtained-70 | Actual Marks 80% | = 56.00 |
| 2. | M/S Mukherjee, Biswas & Pathak- | Marks obtained -69 | Actual Marks 80% | = 55.20 ** |
| 3. | M/S Om Kejriwal & Co. - | Marks obtained-88 | Actual Marks 80% | = 70.40 |
| 4. | M/S J.Singh & Associates - | Marks obtained-96 | Actual Marks 80% | = 76.80 |
| 5. | M/S Sarkar Gurumurthy & Associates - | Marks obtained-67 | Actual Marks 80% | 53.60 |

**** ** The committee observed that there was a typographical error in the Technical Score obtained by M/S Mukherjee, Biswas & Pathak. It should be 69 instead of 64**

Financial Result sheet

The Financial Bids of the technically qualified (minimum of 60 marks) bidders have been evaluated as per the evaluation criteria mentioned below vide clause 12.2 of the NIT

- The total Financial score for evaluation of financial bids is 100.
- The list of Bidder has been ranked in ascending order (i.e.) the Bidder who quoted the lowest Value (L1) has been ranked first and assigned 100 marks. Other bidders, viz: L2, L3 etc have been compared against the financial quote of the L1 and points assigned accordingly. For example, if the quote of L1 is 200 and that of L2 is 400, then L1 will be awarded 100 points (maximum) and L2 will be awarded $(\frac{200}{400}) \times 100 = 50$ points.

Given below is the Financial Scores of tenderers.

- L1- M/S Mukherjee, Biswas & Pathak Rate quoted- 3,94,250/-- Marks-100 = Actual 20%= **20.00**
- L2-M/S S.K.Agrawal & Co - Rate quoted- 6,49,100/- Marks 60.74 = Actual 20% = **12.15**
- L3- M/S Om Kejriwal & Co - Rate quoted- 6,85,000/- . Marks 57.55 = Actual 20% = **11.51**
- L4- M/S J.Singh & Associates - Rate quoted- 11,40,000/- Marks 34.58 = Actual 20% = **6.92**
- L5- M/S Sarkar Gurumurthy & Asso.-Rate quoted- 11,73,937/- Marks 33.58 = Actual20%= **6.72**

Final Result on the basis of QCBS (80% Technical: 20% Financial)

Sl. No	Name of the Agency	Final Technical Score (80%)	Final Financial Score (20%)	Total Score	Rank
1	M/S Mukherjee, Biswas & Pathak	55.20	20.00	75.20	Third
2	M/S S.K.Agrawal & Co	56.00	12.15	68.15	Fourth
3	M/S Om Kejriwal & Co	70.40	11.51	81.91	Second
4	M/S J.Singh & Associates	76.80	6.92	83.72	First
5	M/S Sarkar Gurumurthy & Associates	53.60	6.72	60.32	Fifth

After review of score sheet it is seen that there is no difference in ranking of bidders. So the final result published in the www.wbtenders.com does not require any change. From the above table it is seen that M/S J.Singh & Associates has secured highest marks (**83.72**) and ranked **1st** and may be selected for the assigned job as per clause 12.3 of the NIT No. WBFBCP/NIT-12(e)/2015-16, as already published in the web site.



APD/Implementation &
Chairman of the Evaluation Committee